

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
05/021/031 03/20/36	2517(2,100)14		621.	631	
THOMAS E KELLEY	PM51/0330	الس <u>اد</u>	EXAMINER MILLER, E		
157 CONCORD ROAD BILLERICA MA 01821		354	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		DATE	E MAILED!03/	30/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Applicant(s) Applicant(s) From Id. Group Art Unit 364 cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
•
5
•
KPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
9
-
I matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in ; 453 O.G. 213.
is/are pending in the application.
is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
is/are allowed.
is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
are subject to restriction or election requirement.
PTO-948.
□ approved □ disapproved.
the Examiner.
S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). y documents have been
Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Other
t

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

- 1. The request filed on February 8, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d) based on parent Application Serial No. 08/621,631 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. Action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code, not included herein can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The single ultimate species remains the same as set forth in Paper No. 13.
- 4. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over each of the Harbuck et al. article and Carlson '970.

In Carlson '970, note "Example E", col. 15-16, where scandium is recovered by extraction with DEHPA and then stripped with 2M NaOH, the scandium extraction being quantitative. This is from the earlier process of "Example B", col. 10, which may be from a sulfuric/boric acid leach, line 42, or via sulfuric acid alone, line 52. In Harbuck et al., pages 109-110, strong sulfuric acid worked well, as did extraction with DEHPA at pages 114-115, e.g., and stripping with NaOH on page 116, e.g. This is as the claims are understood. To the extent necessary, variation of parameters would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is well settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinarily skill in the subject art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

5. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what the starting material is. In claim 1, line 5, "the fluorine reduced material" has no antecedent basis, and it is not clear that fluorine reduces (the valence of?) the material, e.g. In the last line of claim 1, it is not clear what selectively extracting a metal value requires. Likewise in the last line of claim 10, it is not clear what a scandium metal value means. One would ordinarily recite scandium values, generic to dissolved scandium without regard to anion(s). These are exemplary.

6. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this CPA application under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds of record in the next Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL, even though it is a first action after

the filing under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163.

Examiner Miller may normally be reached daily, except alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor, Mr. Jordan, can be reached at (703) 306-4159. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em March 29, 1999

EDWARD A. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER